RHYTHM, WORDS, AND MUSIC IN FRENCH CLASSIC ORGAN MUSIC

Lyn Helen Hubler

Efforts to recreate performances of French Classic organ music generally focus on certain mechanical details: ornamentation, registration, fingering, etc. In this article, we go one step further by exploring the textual premises of selected works and their effect on the music. We are not referring here to free verses, or suites of pieces grouped by mode, but only to those verses which bear a specific liturgical role. This music intended to replace a precise fragment of text during the Mass or Offices. Furthermore, we are not looking at the character or style of the piece as it relates to the words, since the music abounds in pictorialisms of this type. Rather, we wish to point out an aspect of the music routinely overlooked, that is, how an instrumental verse is sometimes closely patterned on a text not printed in the music.

The composer may choose to acknowledge the text by inventing a head motif that imitates the natural accentuation of the text, assigning short notes to short syllables and long notes to long syllables. A word such as “Ag-nus,” with accent on the first syllable, would be set with a long note followed by a short note. Keep in mind that the text was not presented to the performer by the composer, but rather was instinctively chosen themes of a decided vocal character. Remember that in the 17th century instrumental music was still considered inferior to vocal compositions. Historically, all the earliest instrumental music took its form from vocal music, and it was only in the 17th century that instrumental music took on an independent profile. The most important instrument in this group is the French FLEMISH chanson. The instrumental form still retained certain qualities of the vocal model: a clear texture and an opening rhythm usually on the same pitch. Renaissance composers were primarily interested in vocal composition and in a clear and even delivery of the text. The Council of Trent was particularly adamant about the subject of text when proposing church music reforms (1545-63). They urged wide-spread revision of chant syllabification in accordance with classical declamation, and ordered that melismas which obscured the text be reduced or omitted altogether. The organist’s duty was to set forth the cantus firmus clearly and audibly without alteration or mutation of any kind. 1 In 1562, an important memorandum was presented at the Council which recommended that the text be spoken during the playing of organ verses. 2 The Ceremonial of Clement VIII (1600) required the text to be sung or spoken, an injunction repeated throughout 17th-century church legislation. 3 Some organists sang the cantus firmus, such as Juan Bermudo in Spain, organist to Louis XIV.4 Other churchs, we are told, ignored the requirement, or recited the text silently. Without a doubt, the practice must have created confusion, for Nivers complained in the late 17th century of the noise in the church from people reciting the text of the organ verse while he was playing. 5

The compositional style of the High Renaissance motet lingered well into the 17th century. The organ works of Titelouze resemble sacred motets in every regard from the range of the voices to the controlled use of melismas and idiomatic keyboard figurations. The composer expressed little regard for the relation of words to music, meaning he lauded the cool, unmotetonic, motet style rather than the chromatic Italian experiments. Clearly, though, Titelouze was very concerned with careful text underlay. Indeed, the plainchant melody could well have been sung by the organist as he played Titelouze’s verse. The presence of the organ works states that an interval too large for the player, he may sing the missing inner voices, as all the parts were conceived vocally. 6 The friendship between Titelouze and Marin Mersenne, the French theorist, is well known. Mersenne’s ideas on instrumental music, thoroughly in keeping with Renaissance views, sound somewhat extreme today. Chapter 36 of his Musurgia universalis explains how organ pipes can pronounce consonants, syllables, and voice inflections when properly “voiced.” The organ was supposed to imitate the human voice, and organists were to play in a manner that imitated the singer.

During the 17th century the divisions between vocal and instrumental music became clearer. Organ works written in the harpsichord idiom, imitating the viola da gamba, trumpet, hunting horns, or other popular instruments of the day, became commonplace. Furthermore, pieces specifically modeled after the new vocal styles in opus recits were also played on the organ. These organ recits are generally prefaced with explicit instructions on how to make the melody sing, such as “in all these things one must consider the singing methods, because in these pieces the organ must imitate the voice” (Nivers, 1665) or “The dessus de Cromorne is played sweetly and agreeably, imitating the singing style” (LeBéguin, 1706). This article looks at those late 17th-century organ verses that have retained the Renaissance idea of vocal music in which the text is carefully matched to the notes. Strict rules regarding text underlay were summarized by Zarlino in his Institutioni harmoniche, (first ed., 1558). Of Zarlino’s ten rules, five are listed below.

1. Long and short syllables should be combined with notes or figures of corresponding value, “so that no barbarism be heard.”
2. A dot augmenting a note should not be given a new syllable.
3. A syllable must be given to a note, whatever its value, at the beginning of a phrase or of a passage after a rest.
4. The last syllable must coincide with the last note.
5. Only one syllable should be sung to a ligature.

To be sure, the Baroque composer disregarded many of Zarlino’s rules, but what is interesting to observe are the many cases in which composers sought to preserve this outdated technique in the instrumental verse. Shown here are examples from the organ literature of motifs which appear to be derived from the text. “Domine Deus, Agnus Dei,” the text from the Gloria of the Mass, is frequently set in a triple meter to accommodate the three syllables in “Domine Deus” and placed a fresh accent on “Deus.” A few composers who used dupla meter began with a rest to achieve the same end. Additional examples from the Ordinary include a verse on “Et in terra pax” (Glória, Kyrie, and “Benedictus” (Sanctus).

Lyn Hubler holds the doctor of musical arts degree in organ from Stanford University. As a Fulbright Scholar she studied in Paris with Marie-Claire Alain for two years. In addition to being a church organist, music director, and composer, she is currently researching a book on French Classic organ music, a project partially funded by the American Association of University Women.
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VERSETS WITH UNUSUAL METER CHANGES

Some organ music contains curious shifts in meter for no apparent reason. The music of Nivers and Raison, for example, may have a single measure in duple meter amidst a triple meter verset, or feature regular shifts between duple and triple every few measures. Apropos the previous discussion of texted organ versets, we can again look at the text for an explanation.

Consider Nivers’s Pentecost Sequence for organ in Book II, “Veni Sancte Spiritus.”

The original notation used blackened ligatures to indicate the rhythmic compression from 4/4 to 3/4, as is correctly transcribed in Labay’s edition. Curiously, similar shifts in meter appear in contemporary organ music, even though the textual basis for the shifts are lacking. In Raison’s “Tu solus altissimus” from Mass VI, there are 17 changes of meter in a piece just 34 bars long.

The organ versets alternate with sung chant and have the corresponding portion of chant in the bass line. Usually, when a cantus firmus is present in French organ music, the chant appears in long notes of equal value, but not in this case. The piece begins in triple meter with the chant moving by half notes and quarters. Although just twelve bars in length, two measures switch to duple meter (mm. 8 and 12). By underlaying the missing text we begin to see Nivers’s rationale. The chant has been put into short note values to correlate with syllable length. Each syllable gets one note except for “Spiritus” and “Lucis” and “Caesarius” and “Radam,” the two measures in duple meter. With “Lucis,” it is easy to see that Nivers simply added a passing note for a smoother bass line. But why aren’t the other words syllabic? Comparing the chant we find that the other three words were in ligature and melismatic. Nivers, therefore, preserved the contour of the chant and also Zarlino’s rule about putting a single syllable under a ligature. Clearly, it was more important to Nivers to keep the text intact and change meter than to stick to a strict triple meter throughout. Another important issue can be found behind Nivers’s thinking. The style of French music was inherently flexible, and meter shifts imitated the freedom of vocal music. Consider the supple rhythms of the vers mesurée or air de cour. Bar lines appeared sporadically, and then only as a visual aid to keep soprano and bass together. The experiments of the Pléiades poets and musicians elevated the text to new heights in music. The early 17th-century motets by Bouzignac, Formé, and others are good illustrations of how the text determined the meter. This example by Nicolas Parné shows alteration of duples and triple meter for the sake of clear declamation of the text.

19. Ecce tu pulchra es
ORGAN PEDAGOGY
AND THE ROMANTIC SPIRIT
OF THE NINETEENTH CENTURY

Wm. A. Little

The following address was delivered at the Fifth AGO National Conference on Organ Pedagogy in Worcester, Mass., June 24, 1990.

At first or second glance, or even at tenth or thirteenth glance, the threads that bind organ pedagogy to the Romantic Spirit of the 19th century seem tenuous at best. Neither the Kantian imperatives for Hegel's theses and antitheses would appear, even to the informed observer, to have more than the most limited application in illuminating the mysteries of heel-toe pedaling, legero touch, or cross-bar phrasing. In truth, of course, even the closest scrutiny will fail to reveal the presence of a relationship where none inherently exists.

On the other hand, so pervasive was the Romantic Spirit in the 19th century that it is not unreasonable to think that even organ pedagogy could have remained unaffected by it. In the first place, music lay at the very core of Romanticism, with sacred music as its pulse beat. Music was the great universal religion of the Romantics, and the musician its appointed priest. Why then should any aspect of the art have been insulated from the imprint of its time be immune to its influence? Clearly, it should not, and consequently I think it may be worthwhile to explore, if only briefly, the impact of the Romantic Spirit on organ pedagogy: first, to determine in what ways it manifested itself; secondly, to see how it affected the development of the literature for the instrument; and, thirdly, to ascertain whether any lessons may be drawn from these matters which might serve a useful purpose today.

Among the many innovative phenomena which marked the latter half of the 18th century, one had direct and far-reaching implications for the development of organ music in the 19th century. Specifically, I have in mind the startling increase in the number of musical textbooks and tutors published between the years 1750 and 1800. On the face of it, there was nothing new or novel about textbooks as such, and certainly there was no scarcity of them in the early years of the 18th century. Nonetheless, in the Golden Ages of Reason and the Enlightenment, with their emphasis on progress and their faith in the perfectibility of man, it could reasonably be expected that the textbook would play a particularly vital role as a didactic vehicle. And so it did, but here a distinction should be made between textbook and tutor, although this distinction became marked only at a somewhat later time.

On the one hand, the authors of the theoretical texts—whether Kircher in the 17th century or Kirnberger in the 18th—could portray their material to a relatively sophisticated audience for their works; counterpoint and composition are academic disciplines, where mastery is developed through intellectual endeavor, by learning and applying certain fundamental rules and principles. The practical tutors, on the other hand, were written for those who wanted to learn to perform, and consequently their authors had to direct themselves to an audience sometimes radically different in its musical and intellectual orientation. The principal aim of the tutors was to show how rather than why, and in both style and language they were governed by the need to be clear and direct.

Between 1737 and 1784 Michel Corrette, the French organist and composer, produced some 17 tutors for an entire galaxy of instruments, from the harpsichord to the hurdy-gurdy, from the violin and guitar to the flute à bec.

In Germany no similar single figure dominated the field, but several of the authors of instrumental tutors were among the most distinguished musicians of the day. The appearance of no one, but several major tutors, all within the space of about a decade in mid-century was wholly without parallel. Simply to cite the most eminent, there was Marpurg's method for the clavier in 1780; Bach's treatise on the clavier in 1753 and 1762, and Leopold Mozart's Violinschule in 1756. Only a few years later, in 1770, Altenburg wrote his great Musikalische Trompeter- und Paukenkasten, roughly in importance were Daniel Gottlob Türk's book, On the Most Important Duties of an Organist, published in 1787, and his Clavierschule, in 1789, both of which have been recently translated. Altogether, the number and quality of instrumental tutors that appeared in Germany in the second half of the 18th century was as amazing as it was unprecedented.

What had hitherto been the closely guarded terrain of professionals, who used the organ as the venue for the skillfully structured relationship, was now thrown open and revealed in minute detail for anyone who wanted to learn to play an instrument and had the money to purchase the book. This development may have represented an effort to broaden the base of musical activity in the general cultural life of the time, but it also clearly represented a breakdown in the venerable Guild system, according to which the admission of candidates was highly selective and the initiation process rigorously controlled. More to the point, however, it constituted a tacit acknowledgment that the artist-performer was no longer the privileged possessor of arcane truths.

Given the magnitude of this pedagogical shift, it is only natural to try to determine what caused it.

In the commentary to his facsimile-reprint edition of Türk's Duties of an Organist, Bernard Billetter offers one plausible explanation which might account for the organ tutors, but which does not apply in broader terms. Based on the premise that the second half of the 18th century was an era of general deterioration in the field of church music, he argues that it is "most often in these textbooks of decay that the significant textbooks are written, since oral instruction suffices during a time of prosperity, and only important principles are codified. But when good teachers and models become rare, the need arises for the transmission of even the most self-understood details." Billetter's assessment of the situation in church music in the latter half of the 18th century is certainly on the mark, but the preponderance of instrumental tutors that appeared during those years for instruments other than the organ—there were, in fact, more than a half dozen organ tutors recorded in the entire period. Equally, if not more important, the world of music, otherwise, flourished during the second half of the century: the works of Gluck, Haydn, Mozart, and countless other composers testified to the health and prosperity of secular music during these decades.
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